IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of: Tobin Island, et al.

Application No.: 10/783,880 Group Art Unit: 3739

Filed: February 19, 2004 Examiner: Henry M. Johnson III

Title: SELF-CONTAINED, EYE SAFE HAIR-REGROWTH INHIBITION

APPARATUS AND METHOD

Rule 132 Declaration of Dr. Gary C. Bjorklund

Gary C. Bjorklund declares and states as follows:

- 1. I am the Consulting Director at the Stanford Photonics Research Center at Stanford University. I am a past president of the Optical Society of America, a past president of the IEEE Lasers and Electro-Optics Society, and I am a Fellow of the Optical Society of America, the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, and the American Physical Society. I have authored over 100 technical publications, and I am an inventor on over 30 patents. I have served on the National Research Council Committee on Optical Science and Engineering, and I currently serve as the Chair of the Optical Society of America Foundation. I received my undergraduate degree in Physics from M.I.T. in 1968, and I received my Ph.D. in Applied Physics from Stanford University in 1974. My resume is attached hereto as Fythibit A
- I have no financial interest in the outcome of this matter, nor do I have any prior relationship with SpectraGenics or any of the inventors of the above-named patent application. I am being compensated for my time at my normal consulting rate.
- 3. I have made an extensive review of Dr. Michael Slatkine's patent application no. WO 03-049633 (the "633"). At page 43, lines 13-18, the '633 states the equation for determining eye safety of a laser source, as follows:

"The AEL for visible and near infrared radiation exiting a diffusing unit for which protective eyeglasses are unnecessary based on an extended diffuser source is defined by ANSI Z 136.1, as 10*k1*k2* (*173) J/cm²/sr, where t is in seconds and k1=k2=1 for a wavelength of 400-700 nm, k1=1.25 and k2=1 at 750 nm, k1=1.6 and k2=1 at 810 nm, k1=3 and k2=1 at 940 nm and k1=5 and k2=1 at a wavelength of 1060 to 1400 nm. The safetv limit set by ISO 15004: 1997 E for pulsed radiation is 14.J/cm²/sr."

- 4. I have reviewed ANSI standard Z 136.1. I have also reviewed the standard for laser classifications contained in 21 CFR 1040.10, and I am familiar with its standard for determining whether a laser device is "eye safe" with respect to retinal damage. The '633 is incorrect is attributing the equation set forth in paragraph 4 to ANSI Z 136.1. Instead, this equation is found in 21 CFR 1040.10, which is used the by the CDRH of the FDA to determine whether a laser device is Class I, or "eye safe". Despite the improper attribution of source in the '633, the foregoing equation is stated correctly, and hereinafter, when I use the term "eye safe" I will mean a device whose output satisfies the equation set forth in paragraph 4, above, since this is the standard used by Dr. Slatkine in the '633.
- 5. The '633 is replete with misunderstandings of optical physics and improperly described designs that are not only not eye safe, but in fact would mislead the reader into building a device which would be extremely dangerous to the eye while believing that it would be eye safe. For the reasons explained below, I have determined that the '633 does not teach even one instance of a device which is eye safe and effective for hair removal under the standard taught by the '633, although it does disclose designs which purport to be eye safe but are not. In fact, a laser which would only damage one eye without the diffuser of the '633 would damage both eves with the '633's diffuser.
- 6. One fundamental error in the '633's teachings can be found at page 7, the last sentence of the first full paragraph, where it is stated that:

"As referred to herein, monochromatic light is defined as being divergent when its exit angle from the distal end of the monochromatic light source, or from the distal end of a diverging unit, when used, is greater than a half angle of 6 degrees, wherein a "half angle" is defined as the half angle measured on a plane perpendicular to the propagation axis of a collimated beam generated by the monochromatic light source. With such a divergent angle, protective eyeglasses having an optical density approximately of only 2 are required for the aesthetc [sic] laser types specified hereinafter, corresponding to a transmittance of 1%. When the divergent half angle is 20 degrees, protective eyeglasses with an optical density of 1 are required, corresponding to a transmittance of 10%. When the divergent half angle is 60 degrees, no protective eveglasses are required." (Emphasis added.1

The underlined statement is simply wrong as a matter of optical physics. Lasers, whether laser diodes or other forms of lasers, emit spatially coherent light. Except for very low power lasers, which would not be effective to remove hair, it

is not possible to achieve eye safety merely by increasing the divergence of monochromatic, i.e., laser, light to 60 degrees. Instead, the light (1) must be made spatially incoherent, and (2) must have a sufficiently low fluence. In the context of eye safety, I use the term 'spatially incoherent' to mean that the lens of the eye cannot re-image the light source to a small enough spot on the retina that it will damage the eye. The basic concept is that, as long as the lens of the eye can re-image the light source on the retina as something approaching a point source, the risk of retinal damage exists and the device is not eye safe except at very low fluences.

7. This same fundamental misunderstanding occurs again in the description of Figure 14, found at page 38, where the '633 states:

"Figure 14 illustrates another preferred embodiment of the invention in which a diffusing unit is not used, but rather a diverging optical element is employed to produce an exit beam having radiance, or alternatively, energy density, depending on the wavelenath. below a safe level."

This statement is, again, simply wrong as a matter of physics. Merely using a diverging element, such as a lens, does not render the light source spatially incoherent even if the divergent element results in a half angle of 60°, and does not make it eye safe. If one of ordinary skill in the art were to follow these teachings of the 633, they would believe that they had built an eye-safe device, but in fact that device would be extremely dangerous at fluences sufficient to remove hair. That this error is intentional, and not merely a typographical mistake, is illustrated in Figure 14A, where the divergent element 741 is a simple convex lens. The 633 then states:

"When divergent beam 742 has a cross sectional dimension at least equal to cross section 752, its radiance is less than an eye safe level."

Again, following this teaching of the '633 would result in a device which is dangerously unsafe to the retina of the eye at any of the fluences used by Dr. Slatkine in his examples that perform hair removal.

8. The device illustrated in Figure 14b of the '633 suffers from a similar misconception, and would not yield an eye safe device at any reasonable fluences. Figure 14b and the associated description, found at page 40, describes an array of reflective lenslets with convex reflectors. The lenslets 992 have a reflective coating 993 on their back side, so that they serve as a divergent reflector. The light reflected through the lenslets 992 strikes a plurality of convex reflectors 995. The rays then exit through transparent plate 994. The intent is to achieve "a safe radiance level" by producing a "divergent half angle of 60

degrees." This, again, evidences a complete misunderstanding of what is required to achieve eye safety under the CFR standard shown in paragraph 4, above. The elements of Figure 14b merely provide divergence. There is no diffusive element at all, and therefore the spatial coherence of the laser source is not destroyed, and the resulting output will not be eye safe. In some respects, the lenslet array of 14b presents even greater risk of eye injury, because each of the lenslets essentially results in a point source that is unsafe, so that, instead of just one unsafe beam, the design of Figure 14b yields an array of unsafe beams. Thus, for example, for a lenslet array of 100 x 100 lenslets placed in front of a laser source of sufficient fluence, the result of Dr. Slatkine's design is 10,000 unsafe beams of light.

9. A related misconception about eye safety is found at page 33 of the '633, where the device of Figure 8b is described as

"diffuser 784 produces a small diffusing angle of T₂, and refractive/reflective element 785 expands angle T₂ to achieve wide diffusing angle T."

A diffuser with a small diffusing angle is essentially a poor diffuser, and so the teaching of '633 is that a poor diffuser, combined with a refractive/reflective element (i.e., a lens or a mirror) can yield an eye safe device. This is simply not true. The use of the diffuser with a small half angle makes the beam only slightly less coherent and the addition of a lens or mirror only spreads out the beam, it does not make it less coherent. The device of Figure 8b would produce multiple equivalent extended sources, each one of which could be imaged to a small spot on the retina, and would not be eye safe at fluences sufficient to perform a dermatological procedure such as removing hair.

10. The misconception that merely diverging light is the same as diffusing it is repeated at page 49, second paragraph, which states:

"As can be seen from the above description, a diffusing/diverging unit of the present invention, which is mounted to the exit aperture of a conventional laser unit, induces the exit beam to be divergent/and or scattered at a wide angle. As a result the exit beam is not injurious to the eyes and skin of observers, as well as to objects located in the vicinity of the target."

11. The last paragraph on page 40, which summarizes the teachings of the '633 regarding its eye safe designs, states:

In summation, the present invention incorporates four groups of units which cause a monochromatic light to diverge at a sufficiently wide angle so that the radiance of an exit beam is eye safe:

- 1) A diverging unit provided with a single diverging optical element;
- A multi-component diverging unit provided with reflective and refractive optical elements, and without any diffusers;
- A diffusing unit provided with a single thin diffusively transmitting element; and
- 4) A multi-component diffusing unit, whereby a wide divergent, diffusing angle is achieved by using a high thermally resistant refractive/reflective optical component, as well as at least one thermally resistant low angle diffuser.

In fact, contrary to the statements made in the '633, there is no disclosure in the '633 that teaches how to make an eye safe device using any of these units, if the fluences are more than a small fraction of those needed to be efficacious for hair removal. Types 1, 2 and 4 are inherently unsafe for the reasons show above. Further, and as discussed in greater detail below, there is no disclosure in the '633 of a single diffusively transmitting element, or type 3, which yields an eye safe unit. In this regard, the '633 teaches nothing about the characteristics of a diffuser, nor does the '633 provide any meaningful guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to build a diffuser to achieve a particular half angle. In my opinion, this lack of teaching is an important omission, because in my opinion one of ordinary skill in the art would not know how build a diffuser with a particular half angle, nor would they know how to deal with less than perfect diffusers. nor the implications of using such imperfect diffusers.

12. At page 43, the '633 asserts that designs taught by the '633 comply with ANSI standard Z136.1 [sic: should be 21 CFR 1040.10] as follows:

"Staring at the exit of a diffusing unit according to the present invention is equivalent to staring at a reflecting extended diffuser with 100% reflectivity. The AEL for visible and near infrared radiation exiting a diffusing unit for which protective eyeglasses are unnecessary based on an extended diffuser source [sic: extended diffuser source] is defined by ANSI Z 136. 1, as 10*k1*k2* (t**1/3) J/cm²/sr, where t is in seconds and k1=k2=1 for a wavelength of 400-700 nm, k1=1.25 and k2=1 at 750 nm, k1=1.6 and k2=1 at 810 nm, k1=3 and k2=1 at 940 nm and k1=5 and k2=1 at a wavelength of 1060 to 1400 nm. The safety limit

set by ISO 15004: 1997 E for pulsed radiation is 14 J/cm2/sr." [Emphasis added.]

In fact, the deficiencies pointed out above can be summarized by saying that none of the designs of types 1, 2 and 4 provide an extended diffuse source as required under ANSI standard 136.1 and IEC 60825-1.

13. As noted above, there is no disclosure in the '633 of a single thin diffusely transmitting element, or type 3, above, which yields an eye safe unit. This can be seen by working through the various examples disclosed in the '633 which are described as "eye safe". Some of these can be found in the table set forth at page 45 of the '633. In particular, the '633 teaches that the examples of Table 1 are 'eye safe' if the number in the bottom row exceeds 1. Thus, the examples titled "Non coherent Diode based", and both "Non coherent Nd: YAG based" examples are described as eye safe. However, the table provides values for only an ideal diffuser; see page 44, where it states:

Table I below presents a comparison in terms of eye safety between the exit beam of monochromatic light after being scattered by a diffusing unit into a solid angle of 3.14 sr, which is equivalent to that attained by an

ideal transmitting diffuser, according to the present invention. The The presentation of numbers for an ideal case is not helpful unless there is also disclosure of how to build an ideal diffuser. Nowhere in the '633 is there any disclosure of how to build an ideal diffuser. In fact, it is well known in the field that commercially available diffusers are typically much less than the ideal. This is true, as well, for the diffusers that the '633 actually discloses; none of the disclosed diffusers is perfect or even close to perfect, and the half angles of the diffusers disclosed by the '633 range between 10 degrees and 40 degrees.

- 14. Thus, to determine whether the examples given in Table 1 are eye safe, the calculations must be redone for the diffusers that are actually disclosed by the '633. The tables of calculations attached hereto as Attachments I and II show that, for every diffuser actually disclosed by the '633, the resulting device is far from eye safe. In both attachments, the rightmost column shows the ratio of the acceptable emissions limit divided by the calculated emissions limit for the examples in the '633. Thus, for any ratio less than 1, the device is not eye safe. As shown in the tables, none of the examples in the '633 yields a ratio greater than 1. Therefore, none of these examples is eye safe.
- 15. Because the diffusers in the '633 are imperfect, it is necessary to model their behavior in order to calculate whether the examples given in the '633 will yield an eye safe device. There are two equally valid techniques for modeling the behavior of an imperfect diffuser in combination with a laser source. Thus, for the calculations in Attachment I, the diffuser and light source combination has been modeled as an "Equivalent Extended Source", while in Attachment II the diffuser and light source combination is modeled using a "Subaperture"

technique. While the values in the rightmost column do not agree exactly, the correlation is well within acceptable limits, and both approaches confirm that none of the examples taught in the '633 are eve safe.

- 16. One of ordinary skill in this art would not typically be able to distinguish the optically correct portions of the '633 teachings from the optically incorrect portions. Instead, one of ordinary skill would likely accept on faith even the incorrect teachings of the '633 application. In my opinion, one of ordinary skill in this art would not know to reject the teachings of the '633 directed to the use of a poor diffuser plus a lens, nor would they understand that the lenslet array yields a dangerous, unsafe device. Further, they would not know to adjust the fluence of the laser source, which is another required modification to the teachings of the '633 in order to yield a device which is eye safe and effective to remove hair. Still further, nothing in the '633 teaches the benefits associated with substantially uniformly illuminating the diffuser, and, again, one of ordinary skill in the art would not know to consider this issue in attempting to build a device which is both eye safe and effective for hair removal. It is not inherent in a light guide that the output has a uniform distribution, and nothing the in '633 teaches how to design such a light guide.
- 17. As a result, in my opinion the teachings of the '633 are inadequate to teach one of ordinary skill in the art how to build an eye safe device which is effective to remove hair. Stated differently, the teachings of the '633 do not make it obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art how to construct an apparatus that uses a light source to effect hair removal on a human and has an optical diffuser for diffusing the light so that the light emitted from the apparatus is eye safe.
- 18. I have also reviewed Yaroslavsky Patent Publication 2004-0225339, and particularly Figure 7 and the associated text. The structure shown there does not lead to substantially uniform light distribution, and instead in more used for collimation, similar to the parabolic reflectors used on simple flashlights.
- 19. I declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful, false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under §1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful, false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

Gary C. Bjorklund

Date: Stay C. Byullow 15 May on

GARY C. BJORKLUND BIOGRAPHY

Gary C. Bjorklund was born in Passaic, New Jersey in 1946. From 1964-1968, he attended M.I.T., where he majored in Physics, receiving the S.B. degree in June 1968. He started graduate work at Stanford University in the Department of Applied Physics in September 1968 and received the M.S. degree in June 1969. His graduate studies were interrupted by service in the U.S. Army between July 1969 and June 1971. Upon returning to Stanford in June 1971, he joined the research group of Professor S. E. Harris, where he performed thesis research on gas-phase nonlinear optics and vacuum ultraviolet holography, receiving the Ph.D. degree in September 1974.

From October 1974-January 1979, Bjorklund was a member of the Technical Staff at Bell Labs, Holmdel, where he performed research in quantum electronics and nonlinear optics.

In January 1979, he joined the IBM San Jose Research Laboratory (now the IBM Almaden Research Center) as a Research Staff Member and in April 1980 assumed the first of a series of research management positions. During the period from 1980 to 1989, he managed technology development efforts in laser disk optical storage, holographic optical storage, frequency domain optical storage, and materials development efforts in organic materials for laser second harmonic generation. From August 1989 until leaving IBM in July 1994, he was Manager of Organic Optoelectronic Materials and supervised groups pursuing research on polymeric nonlinear optical materials, organic photorefractive materials, and polymeric waveguide electro-optic devices for integrated optics. His personal research interests while at IBM were in applications of photonics for holography, sensitive detection, optical information storage, and optical data communications. As a manager and as an individual contributor, he made major contributions to the development of key intellectual property in the area of applications of photonics for information technology.

In July 1994 he joined the staff of Optivision/Optical Networks in Palo Alto, CA, where he served as Director of Advanced Development, with responsibility for maintaining and establishing new relationships with major government funding agencies in the photonics area; for intellectual property development; and for management oversight of ongoing research in optical switching and networking, guided wave photonics, and photonic interconnects and processors.

In June 1998, he left Optical Networks to found Bjorklund Consulting, Inc. As a consultant, Dr. Bjorklund successfully completed assignments for photonics industry clients such as Anvik, Enablence, SDL, Continuum, Charter Growth Capital, Nanovation Technologies, Norwest Equity Partners, Optical Networks, Gartner Consulting, Focus Ventures, Optikos, Quantum Technology Partners, Symmorphix, Cambridge Research & Instrumentation, Rochester Photonics, and Miramar Venture Partners.

In March 1999, he was elected to the Board of Directors of Nanovation Technologies, Inc., a developer of photonic integrated circuits for telecommunications applications, and appointed Chair of Nanovation's Scientific Advisory Board. From February 2000 to November 2001, he served as Nanovation's Chief Technology Officer, with responsibility for overall technology strategy, for technical outreach, for intellectual property development, and for identifying and evaluating technology partnering opportunities.

Since November 2001, when Nanovation ceased business operations, he has returned to consulting work and is currently engaged with a number of industrial and venture capital clients.

In addition to Nanovation, he has served on the Technical Advisory Boards of several high technology companies: Opthos, Inc., a developer of metro-scale optical networking equipment; Anvik Corp., a manufacturer of large area excimer-laser-based lithography systems; Symmorphix Inc., a developer of amplifying planar waveguide components for optical networks; and Enablence, Inc., a developer of planar waveguide components for fiber to the home/premise applications.

In March 2006, he began on a long term consulting assignment with Stanford University to serve as Consulting Director for the Stanford Photonics Research Center (SPRC). SPRC acts as an interface between the Stanford photonics research community and companies interested in photonics applications. As Consulting Director, he is primarily concerned with SPRC activities in the application areas of solar cells, telecommunications, and information technology.

Dr. Bjorklund is a Fellow of the Optical Society of America, of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, and of the American Physical Society. He has authored over 100 technical publications and is an inventor on over 30 patents. In 1998, he was President of the Optical Society of America. He has also served as 1986 President of the IEEE Lasers and Electro-Optics Society, Program Co-Chair of CLEO 1986, Chair of the 1995 OSA Annual Meeting, and as a member of the OFC Steering Committee. He has been a member of several important advisory committees, including the recent National Research Council Committee on Optical Science and Engineering. He is currently serving as Chair of the OSA Foundation.

FDA CFR Title 21 Analysis of Statkine Examples & Table (Sery 6 Spethool 23 April 2867)

Equivalent Extended Source Model

						Calculators	d According to	menore Land					Geleni	ators el Astrol Es	genere	
Exemple	Defining Unit (see	Assumptions by GCR for FBA. Standard Calculations	λ(m)	tired	B, had power oragic of diffusor (degrees)	4, lad power angle of dillowing unit (degrees)	diani			Miles (4	Alleganie (Jen	N (Number of Haminated Microtene Elements)	Gu (Grengy Fluence averaged over operium) (Jion')	(Laser energy per palse) (J)	G (Solid angle of consolor)	C _{ec} , Dissector of equivalent extended exercit (mm)
																Constitution of the Consti
24	a make of the are 18 mail to the co		790	0.0000	40.00	40.00	8.00	1200	1,0000	NEL- 1007/** Av.	AU-197%	_	21.00	01949/4	8/200 miles	dTestyTest0
29	angle of tuer (9 = 40 reg) angle diffuser (9 = 15 reg)		795	0.0400	#0.00 TO.00	1100	5.50	12000	1 0000		4275		25.00	1940	0.214	5 500
		×		1									1			
1	officer with small containing angle pice highly disorgent less plus light guide	ands officer with a 15 day	795	E-0400	45.00	4000	7.00	12500	1 0000		A279		28	1.01	319	1.065
4	arry of facung tenser on array of linear with selective cooling on deal side and a privality of comise reflection	nodflase: etropees n 100,4 100	1006	E 1000		45.00	10.00	8 8000	1.0000	9 990422		10000	49.55	21.418		
So(f)	made from hand often, seggifrer, or in a halographic officer used in conjunction with a light guide or with anyother difficent guint described harmonisters. The occitient that miglion often to 0.0 degrees. made from hand of this pagetime, or in a	angle diffuser with 9 = 42 day, diverging in m. beament fluence in 20 Jpn ²	813	0.0010	40.00	49.00	500	1 5000	10000		1.633		21.00	1927	210	2.02
51(2)	halographic diffusion used in conjunction with a light guide, or with any other diffusing unit described	engle diffuser with 6 = 40 deg. diverging form. towarest fluence is 20 July 2	963	8 0010	40.00	60.00	5 00	1 0000	10000		2.000		21.00	187	210	1.02
50(2)	90 diograms and in color in made then fued differ supplier or in in heliographic officer is supplier or in in heliographic officer is seen in conjunction with a light guide, or each any other differing unit described here	engle diffuser with 9 = 40 dag. diverging lines treatment fluence is 20 Jpm ²	913	0.2000	40.00	60.00	500	1 6000	10000		P.157		21.11	187	210	2.02
fn(d)	Terrendore This section plant major in clase to make the SCO (Spine). Made from Number of clase separation on a major of clase to the section of class separation on a major of class section of	angle diffuser with 9 – 40 dag. throughglane treatment fluence is 20 Jan. ²	940	6.2000	40.00	60.00	500	18000	10000		17564	<u> </u>	212	1907	310	1.02
So(1)	mide fam fued allow supplies or o in falegraphs diffuser used in conjunt on with a light guide or with any other diffusing unit described havenabose. The scattering half large is close to	angle diffuser with 9 = 42 dag. sharping lane. Sharpinet fluence is 50 Jon 2	812	0.0040	40.00	60.00	100	1 5000	1000		1600		61	107	110	102
90(Z)	made from fused silcs, suppliers, or in in halographic diffuser used in cosyunction with a light guide or with sny other diffusing unit described halographics. The scattering half shall in close to	engle diffuser with 9 = 42 deg. diverging limits. 2000 met Thanco in St. Jon. ²	940	0.0010	40.00	60.00	100	1,000	10000		1000			1917	110	1/02
50(2)	62 degrees made from hand silice suppliere on e.e. halegraphic diffuser used in conjunction with a light guide or reich very other deflangeum described havenabore. The scottering hall wrige is close to	angle diffuser with 9 = 40 dag. dwarping lans. sweamant fluence is 50 Jpn ²			40 10					-		-				
56(4)	Terrimages 14 societing raw years or on a 90 degrees — made have framed since supplies or on a halographic official raw and in conjunction with a highli- guide, or with any other defluency unit described feature above. The societing half angle is close to 90 degrees.	angle diffuser with 9 = 40 deg. dwarping lane. Switchest fluence is 50 Jon 2	913	0.2000	400	60.00	5 00	1 8000	10000		9.357		88	8 917 8 917	110	1/02
6s	ubitation angular beam supender diffuser with a convex reflector, a convex reflector having an ever dear star of 16 mm, a 10 degree glass officer, and a light quick ubitation an angular beam supender diffuser with	angle officer with 8 = 13 dep	413	0.000	10.00	60.00	200	1,6000	10000		10 Pt 1			1942	510	1204
05	others and a light good. Without an angular beam aspared officer with a convex refactor, a convex orficeror, and a light goods. When demoter of 16 mm, a 10 degree gives officer, and a light goods.	ands officer with # 12 day	943	£ 2000	10.00	60.00	200	18000	10000	- 44	20.085		30.00	1942	31d	1204
7a	A nub-component afficing or diverging unit may	anguidh.corwith # 41 deg. diverging lone treatment fluence is 10 Jilan ² ,	654	0.000		60.00	10.00			1	5 107					490
76	brused A mub-camponers offusing or diverging unit may be used	angle duration is 50 most angle diffusion with \$ = 45 dag. diverging form theather's fluence is 50 J/bm².	654	0.000	40.00	40.00	10.00	1 8000	1000	-	5 107		90	7 894	319	496
ħ	A multi-component afficieng or diverging unit may be used	output distriction is 50 mass; angle diffusion with \$1 mt diffusion, diverging time treatment disense is 10 Jilan ² , public dismost is 0.5 mass; angle diffusion with \$1 mt diffusion.	454	1 0000	40.00	40.00	10.00	1 8000	10000		0.794		11.00	7.094	319	190
7s	A multi-component officing or diverging unit may be used	sings diffuse with a 45 day, diverging lime treatment fusions is 50 Jilan ³ , public divergence is 55 marc sings diffuse with \$1.15 day.	654	0.0005	40.00	40.00	10 00	1 0000	10000		0.794		50.00	9.21	314	196
70	A multi-component offusing or overging unit may be used.	diverging form theatness fluorists is 10 Jilan ² pulse dissection is 20 mass single difficult with \$1.15 day.	654	0.000	4500	40.00	10.00	1 8000	1 0000		5 107		9.0	7491	110	1567
21	A must can paners officering or overging unit may be used	diverging lime treatment fluorists is 50 Jann ² , galgar division is 50 mass; single division with \$1.5 dag.	454	0.000	40	40.00	10.00	1 0000	10000		5 107		9.5	20.271	314	1507
TS Th	A must consponent diffusing or diverging unemap be used	diverging lime theorems fluorise in 10 Jans ² public duration in 0.5 mags single diffusion with 8 = 15 day diverging lime theorems fluorism in 00 Jans ²	464	0.000	-500	40.00	10.00	1 8000	10000		0.7H		-9.00	7.004	316	1507
	A thulf-own penent althoung or obverging unit thisp be used	Sentrary flurides 50 Jan 2 pulse dunican a 05 mars	-654	0.0005	500	60.00	_10.00_	1000	_10000		0.794		_6111_	26.072	310	1507
Table1 Column) sees a Table1	Non-token ord Opele Fascel	ongle officer wife? • 43 day more energy.	083	5.000	40 to	AS 00	5.00	3.8600	3 0000		11 062		33 00	_ 5 890	_14%_	\$ 000
Column I same & Table I	Non-softwared Clode States	200 sim stain any	943	0.0000		60.00	5-00	1 0000	1 0000	0.000322	ne	2500	26.00	1 990		- 10
Table1 Celame1 case c	Non-schward Dode Swad	angle-diffuse with 9 = 15 day diverges form	042	0.0000	e to	60.00	5.00	1 0000	1 0000		11.052		28.99	1 890	316	179
Table1 Celumn III	Nan saferred Nat YAD based															
Table! Deumo III	Not YAD based Not selvered Not YAD based	angle officer with 1 (4) day more later no diffuser 500) claments in army	1001	8-9600 8-9600	40.00	45.00	6.00	5.0000 5.0000	10000	0.000454	19:574	3600	41.00	11 212	1475	1 000
Table! Calumn III	Non-coherent NoTYAO basso	omple-diffuser with 6 = 15 day. diverging lane	1096	E-0000	15 00	60.00	600	5 8000	10000		19.574		41.00	11 313	3140	1 100

			Rate or Deliced by Stations (vi m cyc solt)
A _{mon} plans of equivalent cotonded sound) (cot')	African sur	(dom Sr.)	per A.
Asserttice ha	AR _{ef} -QN	ATAJYSANS 17007	H = ACLONI ₂₀ 62814
02079		116771	6,8166
69391		232.911	0.0120
	0100142		0.0001
0.04104	10	27 125	0.6520
0.04108	ro_	27 125	0.1100
03808	10	27 125	0.340
0.5400	n	27 125	0,5465
0.56008		47 8ts	1949
0.34000	n	67.65	0.1200
0.54000	ro	67.015	0.2587
0.00033		921.415	6.8110
690023	ra_	821.615	6,6214
0.15433		13.563	62291
016433		67.015	0.8450
016423	ra	13.563	0.8585
016423		67-013 132-005	0.6174
0.01990		#45.003	6 8947
0.01000		122.005	_ e seco
0.01000		e45 002	6 8012
619IDS _	0.00056	20.400	6803 6802
0.0000	ra	299-014	6.8277
0.20274	000149	37.211	6,7154
0.00077	ra .	502.015	6,8165

FDA CFR Title 21 Analysis of Slatkine Examples & Table (Gary C. Epintane 20 April 2007) Subaperture Model

						Colcutation	d Accountain E	inimison Limit						Catcul	rdon of Actual E	queur
Example	Officing Unit. (so described in energic)	Assumptions by GCS for FDA Standard Galculations	Ljunj	(bec)	6, half power regie of differen (linguess)	6; last power angle of different unit (degrees)	d (mm)	k,		ADL III	Alliannin (2011	H Stumber of Burnlested Microlens Elements)	Qu (Grengy Fluence averaged over aperture) (Jilosh)	Quest (Energy Fluence at certain sub- region) (Alom ³)	Q (Laser energy per (school) (A)	SI Solid angle of embasion from sub-regions srl
		2000	100	8						ALL COON TINE, AL	ALL-100 N.A.			Queg #1.2813Qu	Quarin	09000
20	ongle diffuser (0 = 40 deg)		708	0.0400	43.00	40.00	6.60	1,2900	1 0000	re	4.275		20.00	24.60	\$ 840	1.01
20	50gt offset (8 - 13 deg)		700	0.5400	13.00	19.00	5.50	12900	1 8000	re	4279	10	20.00	34.55	1.945	1214
													•			
3	afford with small sociating origin plus highly overgot terro plus light purce	angti officer with \$1.15 pag.	706	0.5400	15 00	60.00	7.00	1,2900	1 5000	re	4.275	60	26.00	34.89	5.821	1214
		×											1			
4	arity of focusing tenses on arity of tenses with reflective scoting or disart size and a purnity of convex reflectant	no diffuser array sus is 100 x 100	1004	0 1000		60.00	12.00	5 0000	1 8000	0 0000022	ce	10000	#0.00		21.610	
											- "			-	1.1.1	
	made from fused silical supplies or in a			_				1					-			
29(1)	made from fused wilco, sopphire or in a holographic officer used in cerpiration with a light public. In with very other officers puril despired a membrade in the softening and cerpiral in a second of the softening and cerpiral in a second of the softening and cerpiral in a light public of with well with a diffusion puril public public or with well within diffusion puril second of the second of the second of the public of the second of the second of the redespired of redespired of the redespired of redespired of redespired redespired of redespired redespired redespired redespired	single diffuser with 6 = 40 day diverging kins														
	rease from funes slice. Appries or is a	Overping sens Treatment fluence is \$2 John ²	#15	0.0013	41.00	60.00	8 00	1 6000	1 5000	- 19	1 500	re.	20.00	27.73	3.527	1.03
\$1(2)	halographic offuser used in conjunction with a light guide, or with any other diffusing unit	single diffuser with 6 = 40 deg diverging lens												i		
	is done to 60 degrees Proce from Suest Acros Asserting 17 is a	treatment fluence is 23 J/on ²	940	0.0013	40.00	60.00	0.00	3 0000	1 0000	re	3 500	10	20.00	27.73	2.927	1473
\$4(3)	helographic officer used in conjunction with a light pulse or with any other afficing unit described hereinsbow. The scattering helf angle	single diffuser with 6 = 40 dag diverging lans												1		
	is done to 60 degrees	treatment fluence is 20 J/onf	910	0.2000	42.00	60.03	5 00	1 6000	1 0000	re	9 257		20.00	27 73	1 127	1.03
\$4(4)	reside from fused silves, desperar or in a redisperar of these used in comprison with a figit guide or with any other collecting und searches in members. The solution print langua- ies done to 60 degrees reads the fused silves applies or in a hedographic officer used in congression with a got guide or with any other efficiency unit despots at international. The solutioning resil ongs.	single officerwith 6 = 45 deg												i		
	described here insides. The scattering half angle is done to 60 degrees.	treatment fluence is 22 J/orf	940	0.2000	40.00	60.00	5.00	3 0000	1 0000	re	17644	re	20.00	27.73	3 127	1.01
fo(1)	helographic officer used in conjunction with a light quies or with any other efficiency unit	single offuser with the 40 deg											1	1		
	described hereinoboxe. The scattering half onger is alone to 60 degrees. made from fused silice, sapphier or is a	coverging lens treatment fluence is 50 J/om*	*11	0.0013	43.00	60.00	5 00	1 6000	1 5000		1 800	60	50.00	09.32	8.817	1.03
51(2)	reade from fused silice, sapphier or in a halographic diffuser used in conjunction with a light guide, or with large other diffusing unit described handlindere. The scattering half single	sinds diffuser with 6 = 40 dea											1			
20(2)	described hereinshose. The southring half angle is slose to 60 segmen.	olverging tens, treatment fluence is 80 utom?	940	0.0013	43.00	60.00	8.00	3 0000	1 8000		3 500	re	50.00	09.22	1117	1.03
\$1(2)	despite 3 hardwise. The soldstring half single is slove to 50 agrams reade from Swed slice, sapphire or is a holographic officar used in carplandion with a light guide or with any other difficulty unit despite or half and other slices of the despite of high significant significant or security and significant despite or with any other difficulties.	sinds diffuser with 6 = 62 dea														
\$0(3)	light guide or with any other diffusing unit described hereinshope. The scattering half angle	diverging ions, tweetners fluence is 80 J/on ²		0.2000	41.00	en en	8.00	1 6000	1 0000		5 207	**	80.00	m 22	8.07	1.02
	described hereinstone. The scattering helf single is sloped to GS begrees made from fueld slides appears or is a hotographic offiser used in congruetan with a light guide or with any other diffusing unit described hamination. The scattering helf single is sloped to GS degrees.	single officer with thir #2 dea		V 4000				1000	1 4000		100					10.7
\$0(4)	light guide or with any other diffusing unit described hereinsbose. The scattering helf angle	overging ions twelment fluence is 80 J/om ²	940	0.2000	42.00	60.00	5.00	3 0000	1 8000	re	17544	re	50.00	69.22	9 217	1473
	1000000								1,000	1	10.000		1			10.
	2023 sin inguar team expander offuser with															
51	a convex reflector, a convex reflector having on oner compater of 10 mm, a 12 segme glass	ange officer with 8 x 10 deg	***	0.9000	12.00	60.00	200	1 6000	1 0000		10.711		30.00	41.00	0 M2	1066
50	inter clamater in 10 mm, a 12 segme gross officiary and o joye guide ublices an angular beam expender diffuser with a convex reflector is convex reflector having an inner clamater of 15 mm is 10 segme gives officiary, and a light guide.	Sign broke Will Printing		0.2000	1100	60.00	200	10000	1 8000	-"-	92711		200		1112	1.000
	inner diameter of 15 mm is 10 degree glass officier, and a light guide	single officer with \$= 10 dep	540	0 3000	12.00	60.00	2.00	3 0000	1 8000		20 063	10	30.00	41.99	0.942	1 000
	×									1			1			
74		single officialness 6 × 45 deg. diverging lane treatment fluoros is 10 Josef.														
	A must-component offusing or diverging unit may be used.	treatment fluoros is 10 Jiber ² , pulso duration is 30 marc sings officer with 6 × 43 dbg,	994	0.0000	40.00	60.00	12.00	1 0000	1 0000	re	2 107	ro.	10.00	12.00	7 854	1.03
76	A must-component diffusing or diverging and may	diverging lans freelment fluence is 50 Jicen ² ,											1	1		
_	De Lines	public duration is 30 mosts single distribution in 45 days	594	0.0000	40.00	60.00	12.00	1 0000	1 0000		2 107		20.00	69.22	20,270	1.03
70	A multi-component diffusing or diverging and may	Swerging land bestment fluence is 10-Jiber ² ,	694	0.0005	42.00	60 11	12.00	1 0000	1 0000		0.794		10.00	12.00	7 894	1471
	to rest	single officialness 6 % hope single officialness 6 % 45 day diverging lane treatment fluoros a 50 Jicen ⁵ ,	- 654	0.000	****	00.13	12.00	1000	1 0000	-"-	0/34		1000	110	7.631	100
76	A muti-component offusing or diverging and may be used	Instruct fueros o 50 Jon ² , pulse demon o 0.5 mars singo decisión en 15 deg	594	0.0005	40.00	60.11	12.00	1.0000	1 0000	re	0.794		50.00	09.22	20.270	1473
70	A musi-component diffusing or diverging unit may	ango disuprium ex 15 dag diverging limi treatment fluence is 15 Jion ² .														
_	be used	public duration is 20 mags	- 694	0.0000	15.00	60.00	12.00	1 0000	1 0000	re	2 107		10.00	12.00	7 854	2214
77	A musi-component offusing or overging only may	diverging land bearment fluence in 50 Jices ²												1		
_	28.1903	pulse dumbon is 30 most single diffusion with 6 m 15 dag averging land	404	0.0000	15 00	60.00	12.00	1 0000	1 0000		2 107		90.00	10.32	89,270	1214
70	A must-component offusing or diverging unit may be used	resiment fluores is 10 John politic districts to 0.5 mars.	524	0 0005	15 00	60.11	12.00	1 0000	1 0000	re .	0.754	re	10.00	12.00	7 894	0.214
70		single diffusion with 6 × 15 dag diverging land treatment fluedae is 50 Jion ⁵														
_	A multi-comparent offuse gior avergeg and may be used.	palar dumbon a 0.5 more.	-694	0.0005	12.00	-00.13	12.00	1 0000	1,000	re	0.794		90.00	68.32	20.070	1214
Tsole I Courn I	Not deferred.				41.00		9.00									
Topic I	Dook Sales Not otherers	single offuser with \$ 0 AD deg missions array no offuser; 2500 algrands in array		0.000	41.00	AD DD		3 0000	1 0000	-"-	11 052		30.00	4) 59	1.00	1473
Totale 1 Column 1 case b Table 1	Drock Sased	2500 algrants in army	340	0.0500	-	60.00	500	2 0000	1,0000	0.000000	re.	2500	30.00	- 0	5 890	79
Column I crost c	Non referent Discle Septed	single diffuser with 6 × 15 deg (interpreta loss)		0.0900	13.00	60.00	5.00	3 0000	1,0000		11.082		30.00	41.52	5.890	3.214
Tiele I Celumn III	Non-surveyer.															
Yabio I Calumn III	No YAC could	angle officer with 8 = 40 dag microlans army no officer	1094	0 8000	A3 00	40.00	6.00	5 0000	1 8000	10	19,574	10	40 SG	55.65	11 310	1471
Case p Table I Celumn III	Nos otherent No YAG based	2000 000 000000000000000000000000000000	1094	0.0900	-	60.00	6.00	5 0000	1 8000	E 000424	- 10	3600	40.00	- 79	11310	- 10
Cetimn III	Non coherent No YAC trosed	single diffusor with 6 = 15 deg	1094	0.0000	19.00	60.00	600	5 0000	1 0000	l	79.074		80.00	10.00	11.200	1216

		Ratio as Defined by Sinthine (+1 in eye safe)
AR at post from	AR _{ational} mecha	(ACL / AR)
AR _{ef} GIN	#Rat Sand	
ne ne	23,577	6,1913
-	161.000	- CLAN
ne	161 660	6,8264
0.003142	ra .	6,1081
0003102		
ne	10.001	6.004
ne	10.091	6,1501
m	10.001	6,4941
		-
ne	10.001	60362
ne	AP 154	6.8320
re	A7 194	6,000
re	47.3M	6.884
re	47 154	6,3721
	47.154	
ne	#35 fee	6.8246
re	435 000	6,8461
		176
m	8401	6225
m	47 194	6,8120
m	9.421	6,8942
m	47 194	0,8100
	64.752	6,5100
	202 799	6,8004
	64.752	G/SD
	303.759	6,8125
	20.292	6.2264
0.002256	ro	6.8942
	154,255	6,8500
	37 728	6,8160
0.003142	- 11	6,1361